IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Criminal Writ Petition No. 1049 of 2004 (M/B)

Monu @ Rudradev S/o Sri Mahendra Singh,
R/o Village Anneki-Kalan,
P.S. Ranipur, District Haridwar. ……... Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttaranchal & others. ……..… Respondents

Mr. Pankaj Miglani, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner.
Mr. A. Rab, learned Addl. Government Advocate for the State.

Hon. V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.
Hon. Irshad Hussain, J.

1. Heard Mr. Pankaj Miglani, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. A. Rab, learned Addl. Government Advocate, who accepted the notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 & 2.

2. This writ petition is clearly mis-conceived as at this stage, the appreciation of evidence cannot be made. We have seen the First Information Report ourselves. There are allegations, which clearly from the basis of the offences complained of.

3. In that view, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Irshad Hussain, J.) **(V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.)**
27.10.2004 **27.10.2004**

G